

# The City of Forest Park

## Invitation to Bid

### FOREST PARK - Fire Station No. 1

**Mandatory Pre- Bid Conference:** Thursday, August 3, 2023 at 11:00 a.m. (local time)  
City of Forest Park City Hall  
745 Forest Parkway, Forest Park, GA 30297

**Bid Deadline:** **Thursday, August 31, 2023 at 11:00 AM EST**

|   |
|---|
| <p align="center"><b>ADDENDUM #3</b><br/>Issued August 24, 2023</p> |
|---|

*Acknowledgment of receipt of this addendum **MUST BE SIGNED AND INCLUDED IN YOUR RESPONSE TO THE ITB.***

#### [ADDENDUM ITEM NO. 1:](#)

**BELOW ARE ALL WRITTEN QUESTIONS, WITH RESPONSES, RECEIVED BY THE CITY OF FOREST PARK, [procurement@forestparkga.gov](mailto:procurement@forestparkga.gov), PRIOR TO THE DEADLINE OF 12:00 PM NOON, FRIDAY, AUGUST 11, 2023 PER THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.**

#### **QUESTION**

Safe Air needs a CAD file to design the Exhaust Extraction System, is this possible? See Email below. Would it be possible to get a CAD file for the station?

#### **RESPONSE**

No CAD files will be issued during the bidding process.

#### **QUESTION**

We are a GC in Alpharetta and we're looking at this job to determine whether to chase it. As I have been examining the drawing set, it appears all the construction drawings have been saved in a PDF format, but in the size of 8-1/2" x 11" – standard letter size. While the graphics and text in the drawings appear sharp and clear when viewed with a PDF viewer like Adobe (and presumably BlueBeam), when imported into a takeoff package, the image becomes heavily pixelated and the text is nearly impossible to read. (There are no such issues with the specification manual.) My research indicates that if the images are PDF'ed in the original large-format size (Arch D, E, or E1), this results in a much clearer image when imported into takeoff software. I've contacted Skip Layton at Falcon and left a message for Kent Snyder at Precision about this, but I'm hoping that this can be corrected and the drawings re-issued from the owner's side.

#### **RESPONSE**

This issue was addressed by the City in Addendum #1.

**QUESTION**

Our HVAC contractor has submitted a request for substitution for HVAC Controls on this project. We have attached it for your review.

**RESPONSE**

This system is for a whole building automation system. The City has given the design team direction to not use such a system and rely on programmable thermostat controls. Also, request was received 4 days beyond the deadline for submitting requests for alternate equipment

**ADDENDUM ITEM NO. 2:**

The City of Forest Park received several requests from vendors/manufacturers for alternate equipment and materials to be included into the project. All of these requests were rejected since they did not come from an actual General Contractor that is planning to submit a bid for this project per the requirements of the Contract Documents. No alternate materials and or equipment have been approved for this project.

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE

\_\_\_\_\_  
COMPANY NAME

\_\_\_\_\_  
TITLE

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE